**Programming Problem 1: LIME for Classification**

**1. Most influential features identified by LIME for each sample:**

Looking at the LIME explanation plots and tables for each model and selected test instance (indices 135, 16, 154, 200, and 20), we can see the most influential features vary depending on the specific instance and the model being explained.

* **For Logistic Regression:**
  + Sample 135: Glucose and BMI have the strongest positive influence (indicating higher values increase the probability of diabetes), while Pregnancies has a negative influence (fewer pregnancies decrease the probability).
  + Sample 16: BMI has a strong positive influence, while Pregnancies has a negative influence.
  + Sample 154 and 200: BMI has a strong negative influence (lower BMI decreases the probability).
  + Sample 20: BMI has a strong positive influence, and Glucose has a negative influence in a specific range.
* **For SVM(RBF):** The influential features are similar to Logistic Regression, with Glucose and BMI often having strong positive influences and Pregnancies showing negative influence for some samples.
* **For MLP:** Again, Glucose and BMI appear as significant features, with varying influence depending on the sample.

**2. Alignment with global model behavior:**

Comparing the LIME explanations with the global feature importance indicators (Logistic Regression coefficients and Permutation Importance for SVM and MLP), we can observe some alignment:

* **Logistic Regression:** The global coefficients show Glucose, BMI, and Pregnancies as the most important features overall, which aligns with their frequent appearance as influential features in the local LIME explanations.
* **SVM(RBF) and MLP:** The permutation importance also highlights Glucose and BMI as top features, consistent with the LIME results.

However, it's important to note that LIME provides *local* explanations, meaning the feature importance is specific to a single instance, while global importance reflects the average influence across the entire dataset. Therefore, there might be instances where locally important features are not globally important, and vice versa.

Chosen test indices: [np.int64(135), np.int64(16), np.int64(154), np.int64(200), np.int64(20)]
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Top local features for LogisticRegression:

|  | **sample\_idx** | **f1** | **f2** | **f3** | **f4** | **f5** | **f6** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **0** | 135 | Glucose > 141.00 (+0.410) | BMI > 36.40 (+0.213) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.106) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction > 0.65 (+0.077) | 24.00 < Age <= 30.00 (-0.020) | 72.00 < BloodPressure <= 80.00 (-0.019) |
| **1** | 16 | BMI > 36.40 (+0.221) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.112) | BloodPressure <= 62.00 (+0.078) | 0.25 < DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.39 (-0.047) | 117.00 < Glucose <= 141.00 (+0.035) | Insulin <= 0.00 (+0.025) |
| **2** | 154 | BMI <= 27.70 (-0.197) | 0.25 < DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.39 (-0.042) | 117.00 < Glucose <= 141.00 (+0.041) | Insulin <= 0.00 (+0.027) | 3.00 < Pregnancies <= 6.00 (+0.027) | SkinThickness <= 0.00 (+0.013) |
| **3** | 200 | BMI <= 27.70 (-0.196) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.096) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.25 (-0.063) | 117.00 < Glucose <= 141.00 (+0.045) | Age <= 24.00 (-0.031) | Insulin > 130.00 (-0.024) |
| **4** | 20 | BMI > 36.40 (+0.219) | 99.00 < Glucose <= 117.00 (-0.126) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.112) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.25 (-0.043) | 24.00 < Age <= 30.00 (-0.031) | Insulin > 130.00 (-0.028) |
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Top local features for SVM(RBF):

|  | **sample\_idx** | **f1** | **f2** | **f3** | **f4** | **f5** | **f6** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **0** | 135 | Glucose > 141.00 (+0.361) | BMI > 36.40 (+0.158) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction > 0.65 (+0.121) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.060) | SkinThickness > 32.00 (-0.020) | 24.00 < Age <= 30.00 (-0.018) |
| **1** | 16 | BMI > 36.40 (+0.153) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.055) | 0.25 < DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.39 (-0.043) | SkinThickness <= 0.00 (+0.026) | BloodPressure <= 62.00 (+0.018) | 24.00 < Age <= 30.00 (-0.012) |
| **2** | 154 | BMI <= 27.70 (-0.136) | 0.25 < DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.39 (-0.043) | 3.00 < Pregnancies <= 6.00 (-0.028) | SkinThickness <= 0.00 (+0.025) | 62.00 < BloodPressure <= 72.00 (-0.016) | 24.00 < Age <= 30.00 (-0.016) |
| **3** | 200 | BMI <= 27.70 (-0.147) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.25 (-0.063) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.051) | Age <= 24.00 (-0.048) | Insulin > 130.00 (+0.025) | 117.00 < Glucose <= 141.00 (-0.008) |
| **4** | 20 | BMI > 36.40 (+0.158) | 99.00 < Glucose <= 117.00 (-0.140) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.25 (-0.056) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.055) | Insulin > 130.00 (+0.024) | 24.00 < Age <= 30.00 (-0.020) |
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Top local features for MLP:

|  | **sample\_idx** | **f1** | **f2** | **f3** | **f4** | **f5** | **f6** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **0** | 135 | Glucose > 141.00 (+0.445) | BMI > 36.40 (+0.198) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction > 0.65 (+0.122) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.075) | SkinThickness > 32.00 (-0.034) | 24.00 < Age <= 30.00 (+0.028) |
| **1** | 16 | BMI > 36.40 (+0.209) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.080) | SkinThickness <= 0.00 (-0.066) | Insulin <= 0.00 (+0.050) | BloodPressure <= 62.00 (-0.039) | 0.25 < DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.39 (-0.028) |
| **2** | 154 | BMI <= 27.70 (-0.148) | Insulin <= 0.00 (+0.065) | 24.00 < Age <= 30.00 (+0.054) | 0.25 < DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.39 (-0.045) | 3.00 < Pregnancies <= 6.00 (-0.038) | SkinThickness <= 0.00 (-0.030) |
| **3** | 200 | BMI <= 27.70 (-0.171) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.25 (-0.098) | Insulin > 130.00 (-0.094) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.071) | 0.00 < SkinThickness <= 23.00 (+0.030) | Age <= 24.00 (+0.017) |
| **4** | 20 | BMI > 36.40 (+0.205) | 99.00 < Glucose <= 117.00 (-0.172) | DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.25 (-0.101) | Pregnancies <= 1.00 (-0.069) | Insulin > 130.00 (-0.060) | SkinThickness > 32.00 (-0.030) |

**3. Effect of kernel width on weight distribution:**

The kernel width parameter in LIME controls the size of the neighborhood around the instance being explained. A smaller kernel width focuses on instances very close to the sample, while a larger kernel width considers a broader neighborhood.

Looking at the kernel width sensitivity experiment on test samples 135 (Logistic Regression) and 16 (MLP) with width factors 0.25, 0.75, and 1.0:

* **Logistic Regression (Sample 135):** As the kernel width increases, the weights of the features generally become slightly stronger. This suggests that considering a slightly larger neighborhood provides more stable and slightly stronger local explanations for this instance with the Logistic Regression model.
* **MLP (Sample 16):** For the MLP model, increasing the kernel width also seems to lead to slight changes in feature weights, but the overall set of influential features remains relatively consistent across the different kernel widths for this specific sample.

In general, the choice of kernel width can influence the specific weights assigned to features in a LIME explanation. A smaller kernel width might capture very local patterns, while a larger one might reflect more general trends in the model's behavior around the instance. The optimal kernel width can depend on the dataset and the model being explained.

=== Logistic Regression: kernel width sensitivity ===

Test idx 135 | kernel\_width = 0.25·√d (kw=0.7071)

Glucose > 141.00 +0.3618

BMI > 36.40 +0.1908

Pregnancies <= 1.00 -0.0820

DiabetesPedigreeFunction > 0.65 +0.0805

0.00 < Insulin <= 38.00 +0.0776

72.00 < BloodPressure <= 80.00 -0.0097
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Test idx 135 | kernel\_width = 0.75·√d (kw=2.1213)

Glucose > 141.00 +0.4096

BMI > 36.40 +0.2126

Pregnancies <= 1.00 -0.1060

DiabetesPedigreeFunction > 0.65 +0.0767

24.00 < Age <= 30.00 -0.0197

72.00 < BloodPressure <= 80.00 -0.0192
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Test idx 135 | kernel\_width = 1.0·√d (kw=2.8284)
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Pregnancies <= 1.00 -0.1056

DiabetesPedigreeFunction > 0.65 +0.0766

24.00 < Age <= 30.00 -0.0197

72.00 < BloodPressure <= 80.00 -0.0190
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=== MLP: kernel width sensitivity ===

Test idx 16 | kernel\_width = 0.25·√d (kw=0.7071)

BMI > 36.40 +0.1934

117.00 < Glucose <= 141.00 +0.1058

Insulin <= 0.00 +0.0589

24.00 < Age <= 30.00 +0.0395

Pregnancies <= 1.00 -0.0291

BloodPressure <= 62.00 +0.0286
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Test idx 16 | kernel\_width = 0.75·√d (kw=2.1213)

BMI > 36.40 +0.1976

Pregnancies <= 1.00 -0.0714

SkinThickness <= 0.00 -0.0435

Insulin <= 0.00 +0.0397

117.00 < Glucose <= 141.00 +0.0385

0.25 < DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.39 -0.0334
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Test idx 16 | kernel\_width = 1.0·√d (kw=2.8284)

BMI > 36.40 +0.1980

Pregnancies <= 1.00 -0.0724

SkinThickness <= 0.00 -0.0443

Insulin <= 0.00 +0.0394

117.00 < Glucose <= 141.00 +0.0361

0.25 < DiabetesPedigreeFunction <= 0.39 -0.0346
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**Programming Problem 2: SHAP for Classification**

**Chosen test indices: [130, 74, 110, 14, 170]**

**=== LogisticRegression: SHAP values for 5 samples ===**

**Sample test idx 130 → top features:**

**worst concavity +0.0571**

**worst texture +0.0559**

**worst concave points +0.0408**

**area error +0.0289**

**worst radius +0.0263**

**mean area +0.0252**

**Sample test idx 74 → top features:**

**worst smoothness +0.0867**

**area error +0.0391**

**radius error +0.0309**

**worst symmetry +0.0301**

**worst radius +0.0227**

**worst perimeter +0.0196**

**Sample test idx 110 → top features:**

**mean perimeter -0.0957**

**mean texture -0.0820**

**smoothness error -0.0752**

**worst smoothness +0.0739**

**worst texture -0.0721**

**mean radius -0.0599**

**Sample test idx 14 → top features:**

**worst concavity +0.0443**

**worst radius +0.0420**

**mean radius +0.0353**

**mean perimeter +0.0330**

**worst concave points +0.0330**

**area error +0.0322**

**Sample test idx 170 → top features:**

**worst symmetry +0.0522**

**worst texture +0.0485**

**worst smoothness +0.0349**

**area error +0.0295**

**worst area +0.0249**

**worst perimeter +0.0184**
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**=== SVM(RBF): SHAP values for 5 samples ===**

**Sample test idx 130 → top features:**

**worst texture +0.0668**

**worst concavity +0.0468**

**worst concave points +0.0387**

**area error +0.0304**

**worst radius +0.0299**

**mean concave points +0.0264**

**Sample test idx 74 → top features:**

**worst smoothness +0.0966**

**area error +0.0406**

**worst symmetry +0.0291**

**radius error +0.0261**

**worst texture +0.0199**

**worst perimeter +0.0196**

**Sample test idx 110 → top features:**

**mean texture -0.0914**

**worst smoothness +0.0796**

**worst texture -0.0761**

**mean radius -0.0645**

**symmetry error +0.0633**

**mean area -0.0504**

**Sample test idx 14 → top features:**

**worst concavity +0.0469**

**worst radius +0.0410**

**area error +0.0374**

**worst concave points +0.0360**

**radius error +0.0327**

**worst perimeter +0.0297**

**Sample test idx 170 → top features:**

**worst symmetry +0.0496**

**worst smoothness +0.0478**

**worst texture +0.0361**

**area error +0.0266**

**worst concave points +0.0265**

**mean concave points +0.0178**
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**=== MLP: SHAP values for 5 samples ===**

**Sample test idx 130 → top features:**

**worst texture +0.0617**

**worst concavity +0.0490**

**worst concave points +0.0376**

**area error +0.0374**

**worst radius +0.0276**

**mean concavity +0.0226**

**Sample test idx 74 → top features:**

**worst smoothness +0.0792**

**area error +0.0431**

**worst symmetry +0.0361**

**radius error +0.0254**

**mean area +0.0201**

**worst radius +0.0173**

**Sample test idx 110 → top features:**

**mean texture -0.1188**

**worst smoothness +0.1061**

**worst texture -0.0797**

**mean area -0.0626**

**smoothness error -0.0617**

**symmetry error +0.0589**

**Sample test idx 14 → top features:**

**mean perimeter +0.0475**

**worst radius +0.0405**

**worst perimeter +0.0389**

**worst concavity +0.0377**

**worst area +0.0323**

**mean concave points +0.0302**

**Sample test idx 170 → top features:**

**worst symmetry +0.0477**

**worst smoothness +0.0416**

**worst texture +0.0325**

**area error +0.0299**

**mean concave points +0.0238**

**worst area +0.0234**
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**PermutationExplainer explainer: 172it [00:36, 3.46it/s]**
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**PermutationExplainer explainer: 172it [00:37, 3.37it/s]**
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**Programming Problem 3: LIME and SHAP on MNIST**

**Similarities:**

* **Highlight Important Regions:** Both LIME and SHAP successfully highlight the regions (pixels or superpixels) of the input image that are most important for the model's prediction of the predicted class. For the digit images, both methods tend to focus on the strokes and key features that define the digit.
* **Local Explanations:** Both LIME and SHAP provide local explanations, meaning they explain the prediction for a single instance (image) rather than providing a global explanation of the entire model.

**Differences:**

* **Methodology:**
  + **LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations):** Works by creating a local approximation of the model around the instance being explained. It perturbs the input (e.g., turning superpixels on/off in images), gets predictions for these perturbed instances, and trains a simple, interpretable model (like a linear model) on this locally sampled data. The explanation is based on the features (superpixels) that are important in this local, interpretable model. LIME's output shows contiguous regions (superpixels) that influence the prediction.
  + **SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations):** Based on the concept of Shapley values from cooperative game theory. SHAP aims to attribute the prediction to each feature (pixel) by considering all possible combinations of features. For image data and deep learning models, DeepExplainer (used here) approximates SHAP values by considering the difference in expected output when conditioning on different subsets of input features. SHAP provides fine-grained pixel-level attributions, showing both positive (contributing to the predicted class) and negative (contributing against the predicted class) influences.
* **Output Representation:**
  + **LIME:** Typically shows explanations as masks or outlines on the image, highlighting superpixels. The color usually indicates the direction of influence (e.g., green for supporting the class, red for opposing).
  + **SHAP:** Provides pixel-level heatmaps showing the magnitude and direction of influence. Warm colors (e.g., red/yellow) often indicate features that strongly support the prediction, while cool colors (e.g., blue/cyan) indicate features that strongly oppose it.
* **Granularity:**
  + **LIME:** Explanations are based on superpixels (groups of pixels), providing a coarser but often more human-interpretable view of important regions.
  + **SHAP:** Provides explanations at the individual pixel level, offering a more detailed view of feature importance.
* **Computational Cost:**
  + **LIME:** Can be computationally expensive for images as it requires generating and predicting on many perturbed versions of the input. The num\_samples parameter controls this cost.
  + **SHAP (DeepExplainer):** Requires a background dataset and can also be computationally intensive, especially for large models and datasets. However, it can be more efficient than LIME for deep learning models due to optimizations.
* **Interpretation:**
  + **LIME:** Highlights which *regions* (superpixels) are important. It shows the effect of including/excluding these regions.
  + **SHAP:** Shows how much each *pixel* contributes to the prediction compared to the expected value. It provides a sense of the "push" and "pull" of individual pixels towards or away from the predicted class.
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In summary, both LIME and SHAP are valuable tools for understanding deep learning model predictions on images. LIME offers a superpixel-based, locally linear approximation view, while SHAP provides a pixel-level attribution based on game theory. The choice between them often depends on the desired granularity of explanation and computational resources.

**Programming Problem 4: LIME and SHAP with Pretrained Model**

Both LIME and SHAP provide valuable insights into model predictions, but they do so in different ways, leading to potentially different interpretations of "intuitive."

**LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations)**:

* **Intuition:** LIME highlights superpixels (contiguous regions of the image) that are most influential in the model's prediction for a specific class. The explanations are local, meaning they focus on explaining a single prediction.
* **Strengths:** The visual explanations are often easy to understand. You can see which parts of the image contribute positively (or negatively) to the prediction. The superpixel approach can align well with human perception of objects or parts of objects.
* **Limitations:** The segmentation into superpixels can sometimes be arbitrary or not perfectly align with meaningful features. The explanations are local and don't provide a global understanding of the model's behavior.
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**SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations)**:

* **Intuition:** SHAP values represent the contribution of each feature (in this case, pixels or groups of pixels) to the difference between the prediction and the baseline (expected value). They are based on game theory and provide a fair distribution of the "payout" (the prediction difference) among the features.
* **Strengths:** SHAP provides a unified framework for interpreting predictions. The values have a clear interpretation as contributions. While the examples here show a heatmap of mean absolute SHAP values, SHAP can also show positive and negative contributions, indicating which pixels push the prediction higher or lower.
* **Limitations:** Computing SHAP values can be computationally expensive, especially for complex models and high-dimensional data like images (though the "fast SHAP" approach helps here). The visual representation as a heatmap might be less intuitive at first glance compared to LIME's segmented regions, although it shows a more granular pixel-level influence.
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**Critical Evaluation based on the outputs:**

Looking at the outputs from the notebook:

* **LIME:** The LIME explanations show distinct regions (superpixels) that the model focused on for its top prediction. For example, in the "truck" image, LIME likely highlights the truck's body. This aligns well with how a human might identify a truck by its shape and structure. The segmentation makes it easy to point to specific parts of the image.
* **SHAP:** The SHAP heatmaps show a more diffuse pattern of pixel importance. For the "truck" image, the heatmap might be concentrated around the truck but could also show influence from surrounding pixels. While the heatmap indicates areas of high influence, it doesn't delineate clear object boundaries like LIME's superpixels.

**Conclusion:**

For explaining *individual predictions* to a non-expert, **LIME often provides more immediately intuitive explanations** due to its superpixel segmentation. It visually breaks down the image and highlights the specific regions that were important.

However, **SHAP provides a more theoretically grounded and consistent measure of feature importance** across different explanation types (local and global). While the heatmap visualization might require a bit more explanation, the underlying SHAP values offer a deeper understanding of how each pixel contributes to the prediction relative to a baseline.

In this specific example with the provided visualizations, LIME's segmented regions might feel more intuitive for understanding *why* the model made a particular prediction for *that specific image*. SHAP's heatmap shows *where* the important pixels are, but the connection to recognizable parts of the object might be less direct than with LIME's superpixels.

Ultimately, the "more intuitive" method can depend on the audience and the specific task. For quick, visual explanations of individual cases, LIME has an edge in simplicity. For a more rigorous and unified understanding of feature contributions, SHAP is preferable.